# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

## ISO/IEC 19516

First edition 2020-02

Information technology — Object management group — Interface definition language (IDL) 4.2



#### ISO/IEC 19516:2020(E)



#### **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

#### © ISO/IEC 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Fax: +41 22 749 09 47 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

## **Contents**

Forewordv		
Intro	oduction	v
1	Scope	1
	Overview	
	Conformance Criteria	
	Normative References	
4	Terms and Definitions	2
5	Symbols	2
6	Additional Information	3
6.1	Acknowledgments	3
6.2	History	3
7	IDL Syntax and Semantics	4
	Overview	
	Lexical Conventions	
7.2.1	Tokens	8
7.2.2	Comments	8
7.2.3	Identifiers	8
7.2.4	Keywords	9
	Other Characters Recognized by IDL	
7.2.6	Literals	. 11
	Preprocessing	
	IDL Grammar	
	Building Block Core Data Types	
	Building Block Any	
	Building Block Interfaces — Basic	
	Building Block Interfaces — Full	
	Building Block Value Types	
	Building Block CORBA-Specific — Interfaces	
	Building Block CORBA-Specific — Value Types	
	Building Block Components — Basic	
7.4.9 7.4.1	·	
7.4.1 7.4.1	·	
7.4.1 7.4.1	•	
7.4.1	·	
7.4.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
7.4.1		
7.4.1		
	Names and Scoping	
	Qualified Names	
	Scoping Rules and Name Resolution	
	Special Scoping Rules for Type Names	
	Standardized Annotations	
	Overview	
	Introduction	
	Rules for Defining Standardized Annotations	
	Rules for Using Standardized Annotations	
	Standardized Groups of Annotations	
	Group of Annotations General Purpose	
	Group of Annotations Data Modeling	

## ISO/IEC 19516:2020(E)

8.3.3Group of Annotations: Units and Ranges	89
8.3.4Group of Annotations Data Implementation	91
8.3.5Group of Annotations Code Generation	
8.3.6Group of Annotations Interfaces	
9 Profiles	93
9.1 Overview	93
9.2 CORBA and CCM Profiles	93
9.2.1 Plain CORBA Profile	93
9.2.2Minimum CORBA Profile	94
9.2.3CCM Profile	
9.2.4CCM with Generic Interaction Support Profile	94
9.3 DDS Profiles	95
9.3.1Plain DDS Profile	95
9.3.2Extensible DDS Profile	
9.3.3RPC over DDS Profile	
Annex A Consolidated IDL Grammar	97

#### **Foreword**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/patents">www.iso.org/patents</a>) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see <a href="https://patents.iec.ch">http://patents.iec.ch</a>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>.

This document was prepared by the Object Management Group (OMG) (as the OMG specification for Interface Definition Language (IDL), v4.2) and drafted in accordance with its editorial rules. It was adopted, under the JTC 1 PAS procedure, by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

This document is related to:

- ITU-T Recommendation X.902 (1995) | ISO/IEC 10746-2:1995, Information Technology Open Distributed Processing Reference Model: Foundations
- ITU-T Recommendation X.903 (1995) | ISO/IEC 10746-3:1995, Information Technology Open Distributed Processing Reference Model: Architecture
- ITU-T Recommendation X.920 (1997) | ISO/IEC 14750:1997, Information Technology Open Distributed Processing Interface Definition Language

Apart from this Foreword, the text of this document is identical with that for the OMG specification for Interface Definition Language (IDL), v4.2.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <a href="https://www.iso.org/members.html">www.iso.org/members.html</a>.

#### ISO/IEC 19516:2020(E)

#### Introduction

The rapid growth of distributed processing has led to a need for a coordinating framework for this standardization and ITU-T Recommendations X.901-904 | ISO/IEC 10746, the Reference Model of Open Distributed Processing (RM-ODP) provides such a framework. It defines an architecture within which support of distribution, interoperability, and portability can be integrated.

RM-ODP Part 2 (ISO RM-ODP Part 2 (ISO/IEC 10746-2) defines the foundational concepts and modeling framework for describing distributed systems. The scopes and objectives of the RM-ODP Part 2 and the UML, while related, are not the same and, in a number of cases, the RM-ODP Part 2 and the UML specification use the same term for concepts which are related but not identical (e.g., interface). Nevertheless, a specification using the Part 2 modeling concepts can be expressed using UML with appropriate extensions (using stereotypes, tags, and constraints).

RM-ODP Part 3 (ISO/IEC 10746-3) specifies a generic architecture of open distributed systems, expressed using the foundational concepts and framework defined in Part 2. Given the relation between UML as a modeling language and Part 3 of the RM-ODP standard, it is easy to show that UML is suitable as a notation for the individual viewpoint specifications defined by the RM-ODP.

This International Standard defines a method for automating the counting of Function Points that is generally consistent with the Function Point Counting Practices Manual, Release 4.3.1 (IFPUG CPM) produced by the International Function Point Users Group (IFPUG). Guidelines in this International Standard may differ from those in the IFPUG CPM at points where subjective judgments have to be replaced by the rules needed for automation. The IFPUG CPM was selected as the anchor for this International Standard because it is the most widely used functional measurement specification with a large supporting infrastructure maintained by a professional organization.

## Information technology — Object management group — Interface definition language (IDL) 4.2

## 1 Scope

#### 1.1 Overview

This International Standard specifies the OMG Interface Definition Language (IDL). IDL is a descriptive language used to define data types and interfaces in a way that is independent of the programming language or operating system/processor platform.

The IDL specifies only the syntax used to define the data types and interfaces. It is normally used in connection with other standards that further define how these types/interfaces are utilized in specific contexts and platforms:

- Separate "language mapping" standards define how the IDL-defined constructs map to specific programming languages, such as, C/C++, Java, C#, etc.
- Separate "serialization" standards define how data objects and method invocations are serialized into a format suitable for network transmission.
- Separate "middleware" standards, such as, DDS or CORBA leverage the IDL to define data-types, services, and interfaces.

The description of IDL grammar uses a syntax notation that is similar to Extended Backus-Naur Format (EBNF).

## 2 Conformance Criteria

This International Standard defines IDL such that it can be referenced by other standards. It contains no independent conformance points. It is up to the standards that depend on this International Standard to define their own conformance criteria. However, the general organization of the clauses (by means of atomic building blocks and profiles that group them) is intended to ease conformance description and scoping. That means that no standard using IDL 4.0 will be forced to be compliant with IDL constructs that are not relevant in its usage of IDL.

Conformance to this International Standard must follow these rules:

- 1. Future standards that use IDL shall reference this IDL International Standard or a future revision thereof.
- 2. Future revisions of current standards that use IDL may reference this IDL International Standard or a future revision thereof.
- 3. Reference to this International Standard shall result in a selection of building blocks possibly complemented by groups of annotations.
  - a. All selected building blocks shall be supported entirely.
  - b. Selected annotations shall be either supported as described in 8.2.2 Rules for Using Standardized Annotations, or fully ignored. In the latter case, the IDL-dependent standard shall not define a specific annotation, either with the same name and another meaning or with the same meaning and another name.

### 3 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this International Standard. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments):

- ISO/IEC 14882:2003, Information Technology Programming languages C++
- [RFC2119] IETF RFC 2119, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", S. Bradner, March 1997. Available from http://ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119
- [CORBA] Common Object Request Broker Architecture. OMG specification: formal/2012-11-12 (part1), formal/2012-11-14 (part2), formal/2012-11-16 (part3)

The following referenced documents were used as input to this International Standard:

- [DDS-XTypes]. Extensible and Dynamic Topic Types for DDS, Version 1.2. Available from: <a href="http://www.omg.org/spec/DDS-XTypes/1.2">http://www.omg.org/spec/DDS-XTypes/1.2</a>
- [DDS]. Data Distribution Service, Version 1.4. Available from: <a href="http://www.omg.org/spec/DDS/1.4">http://www.omg.org/spec/DDS/1.4</a>
- [DDS-RPC]. Remote Procedure Call over DDS, Version 1.0. Available from: <a href="http://www.omg.org/spec/DDS-RPC/1.0">http://www.omg.org/spec/DDS-RPC/1.0</a>